

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,993	REICHENBACH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PUNAM PATEL	2855	

All Participants:

(1) Punam Patel (PTO).

(2) Andrew Deditch (Applicant's Representative).

Date of Interview: 26 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an amendment to further clarify the claim language. Applicant agreed with the proposed amendment; see Examiner's Amendment enclosed herewith.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lisa M. Caputo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2855

Status of Application: Amendment After Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:15 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)